

# Yasmine Kirollos

Direct Dial: (239) 990-8025 Fax: (954) 967-8577 E-Mail: <u>vkirollos@conroysimberg.com</u> Office Location: Fort Myers

Yasmine Kirollos is a partner in Conroy Simberg's Fort Myers office and practices in a wide range of areas including general liability & casualty, automobile litigation, premises liability, insurance coverage, bad faith & extra contractual litigation, first party property and coverage, subrogation, construction litigation and professional liability. Prior to joining the firm, Yasmine practiced insurance defense and coverage in Cleveland, Ohio.

Yasmine earned a juris doctor, cum laude, from Michigan State University College of Law where she served as Editor-in-Chief of the Michigan State University Journal of Medicine and Law and was a member of the Trial Practice Institute.

### **Practice Areas:**

- General Liability & Casualty
- Automobile Litigation
- Premises Liability
- Insurance Coverage
- Bad Faith & Extra Contractual Ligation
- First Party Property & Coverage
- Subrogation
- Construction Litigation
- Professional Liability & Services

## Admitted to Practice:

- Ohio, 2011
- U.S. District Court, Northern District of Ohio, 2012
- Florida, 2014
- U.S. District Court, Middle District of Florida, 2014

### **Education**:

- Michigan State University College of Law, Juris Doctorate, *cum laude*, 2011
- York University, 2008

#### Honors & Awards:

• *The Best Lawyers in America: Ones to Watch*, 2024-2025, Listed in Florida for Insurance Law

# Speaking Engagements:

- "Recurring Insurance Coverage Issues A Primer," Co-Presenter, Conroy Simberg Webinar, April 2021
- "Negligent Security Litigation In Florida," Co-Presenter, Conroy Simberg Webinar, May 2020
- "Premises Liability Update," Co-Presenter, Conroy Simberg Webinar,





April 2019

#### **Representative Experience:**

• John Ringelstein v. Naples Courtyard Inn

Obtained a defense verdict in a premises liability personal injury lawsuit involving a slip and fall at a Naples hotel. The hotel was sued under allegations that it allowed a dangerous condition to exist on an exterior staircase on which the Plaintiff alleged that he slipped and fell. Plaintiff was an out-of-town guest who claimed that the hotel's air conditioning units were leaking water out onto the exterior walkway which then made its way onto the staircase resulting in algae and mold developing on the steps over time. Defendant denied that any such condition existed on the steps or the walkway.

• Benigna Boyle v. Target

Plaintiff was a customer at the Defendant's store and was in the health and beauty supply aisle looking for a cosmetic bag when she was struck by a box of merchandise on a flatbed cart that she alleged was left unattended by Defendant's employees. Defendant denied that the store's practice was dangerous or that the cart was unattended since the video showed two employees at or around the cart while the Plaintiff was shopping.

As a result of the event, Plaintiff suffered a vertebral fracture to her lumbar spine that required a kyphoplasty at the emergency room. She also subsequently alleged a neck fracture for which she minimally treated. Defendant contended that she obtained an excellent result from the procedure and that there was no need for any type of ongoing medical care or treatment in the future. The Plaintiff asked the jury to award \$716,000.00 at the close of trial. The defense argued that there was no evidence of any fault against Defendant. The jury deliberated for about two hours before returning its defense verdict.

• Karen Jones v. Freedland Moore, Inc. d/b/a Harbor Nissan Obtained a defense verdict in a premises liability case tried over 4 days in Charlotte County, Florida. Plaintiff alleged that the dealership allowed a dangerous condition consisting of an unidentified liquid to exist in the service area where customers would routinely drop off their vehicles for service. Defendant contended that it had a well-established set of policies and procedures in place for patrolling, inspecting, and maintaining the service area free and clear of any potential slip or trip hazards. The jury deliberated for less than 90 minutes before rendering its verdict of no liability against the Defendant.